UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,175	02/22/2006	Johann Thomas Rogatschnig	AT030047	2012
24737 7590 01/22/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIA POLITICAL MANOR NIV 10510			EXAMINER	
			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/569,175	ROGATSCHNIG, JOHANN THOMAS			
omce Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Maurina Rachuba	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Occ</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	акент Аррисацон			

Application/Control Number: 10/569,175 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Applicant has overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Applicant has overcome the previous rejection under 35 USC 102.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-7 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duda, 3,950,847 in view of Taniguchi et al, 6,568,083. Please refer to figure 1 of '847, '847, figure 2 and column 3, lines 4 through column 4, lines 32, discloses the claimed invention, including a housing having a passage, the housing containing a motor and a driving means drivable by the motor, at least one short hair cutting device (5, 6) projecting out of the housing through the passage and comprising a short hair cutting tool drivable by the motor and driving means 15, and with a long hair cutting device projecting out of the housing through the passage and comprising a first long hair cutting tool 10 which is not driven by the motor and a second long hair cutting tool 11 drivable by the motor and driving means and located between the first long hair cutting tool and the short hair cutting tool. '847 does not disclose a carrying device that moves the long and short hair cutters between two upper and lower positions, with a slide button. '083, in a similar device, teaches a shaver having a housing, see for example figure 4B, the housing containing the motor and drive means, (the same structure broadly disclosed by applicant, see '083, column 9, lines 34 through column 10, lines 22), the short hair cutter and long hair cutter positioned on a carrier, which has

Application/Control Number: 10/569,175

Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

several positions, the short and long hair cutters project further outside the housing when the carrying device is located in a second position than when it is in a first position, see for example column 7, lines 5-24, "The head frame 70 thus supporting the outer cutters 62 is mounted on tip of the housing and is connected to a height adjust mechanism so as to be vertically moveable relative to the housing between a high position of FIG. 1 and a low position." Further column 7, lines 13-19, "The cutter holders 63 each carrying the outer cutter 62 are provided for short hair shaving, while a long hair shaving cutter unit 66 is also supported to the head frame 70...". The examiner considers that the rotating dial is a slide button, and that as it rotates, it has a motion component in the vertical direction, which meets the claimed limitation. Note also that the cutting characteristic of the long hair cutter is changed, the characteristic being the distance, without altering a cutting characteristic of the short hair cutter. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided '847 with the carrier and button taught by '083, for the predictable result of moving the cutters within the housing to adjust cutting characteristics of the long hair cutter.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 30 October 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Duda does not disclose the three cutters as claimed. The examiner disagrees. Please refer to the rejection above. A reading of Duda would clearly explain that there are three cutters, the short hair cutter and one of the long hair cutters driven by the motor and driving means. It is the examiner's position that Duda as modified by Taniquchi makes obvious the claimed invention. If applicant

has other structure, or can further define the structure claimed that would overcome the rejection, it should be claimed...

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurina Rachuba whose telephone number is 571 272 4493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica Carter can be reached on 571 272 4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,175 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Rachuba/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727